



## Air Transport in Northern Canada: Modeling the impacts of infrastructure and weather on operational performance

NATA, April 2017, Yellowknife

Amy Kim, Ph.D., P.Eng. Transportation Engineering Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering Air transportation services are critical to Northern Canada – connecting and serving important communities, natural resource extraction sites, research stations, and others









There are many Canadian researchers studying Northern issues



ualberta**north** 

# Table 4Propensity to travel by airplane, 2006

Selected cities	Enplaned and deplaned passengers	Population 20061	Aviation passenger trips per capita				
Territories							
Iqaluit	110,512	6,184	17.9				
Yellowknife	281,532	18,700	15.1				
Whitehorse	151,765	22,898	6.6				
Provinces							
Calgary	11,158,243	1,079,310	10.3				
Halifax	3,290,441	372,858	8.8				
Kelowna	1,264,943	162,276	7.8				
Vancouver	16,200,257	2,116,581	7.7				
St. John's	1,156,999	181,113	6.4				
Toronto	29,467,559	5,113,149	5.8				
Winnipeg	3,590,164	694,668	5.2				
Edmonton	5,287,848	1,034,945	5.1				
Regina	914,286	194,971	4.7				
Saskatoon	1,009,462	233,923	4.3				
Moncton	534,002	126,424	4.2				
Charlottetown	227,352	58,625	3.9				
Ottawa	3,540,530	1,130,761	3.1				
Fredericton	228,050	85,688	2.7				
Québec City	805,095	715,515	1.1				
1. For city populations, Census Agglomerations or Census Metropolitan Area geographies were used.							
Source(s): Statistics Canada, 2008, Table 1.1, Air Carrier Traffic at Canadian Airports 2006, Catalogue no.51-203-X.							
Statistics Canada, 2008, 2006 Census Community Profiles, Catalogue no.92-591-X.							

- C Q Search

Providing air services in the north require an entirely other set of skills and expertise



Northern airports see a disproportionately high number of very small aircraft movements

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
PROVINCES					
Maximum take-off weight, <=9,000 kilograms <b>(A)</b>	5458	5546	5385	4415	4480
Power plant, jet engines (B)	264	269	278	265	277
PROVINCES: small versus jet (A/B)	20.7	20.6	19.4	16.7	16.2
TERRITORIES					
Maximum take-off weight, <=9,000 kilograms <b>(A)</b>	1366	1190	1095	927	1131
Power plant, jet engines (B)	38	43	33	32	46
TERRITORIES: small versus jet (A/B)	35.6	27.5	33.6	28.6	24.7

Annual itinerant movements, by weight group and type of power plant, airports without air traffic control towers cansim-4010038-eng-636170197795

# Providing air services in the north require an entirely other set of skills and expertise





In addition... disproportionately impacted by a rapidly changing climate Experience informs us about major causes of delay & operational challenges Many operating statistics are reported by operators continuously

Investment needs and mitigation efforts Targeted investments and policies for northern airports

?

C-GXCN

Sometimes basic statistics alone are unable to tell the entire story
For instance, statistically linking specific weather conditions to specific operational challenges, and rates of occurrence, etc. requires research effort

Data analytics and models can provide more information and support to experiential evidence

### Much of my previous research experience is in the realm of airport and aviation operations



Airport operations analysis, air traffic flow management program design since 2007

Worked with the FAA, NASA, Edmonton Airports, Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, and GNWT DOT

I build data-driven models that can support aviation infrastructure investment decisions Airport operations have been a core focus of my research – including <u>runway capacity estimation</u> <u>models</u> and delay analysis models

 Directly relate impacts of weather (ceiling, precipitation, wind, etc.), runway configuration, demands to operational performance





Airport operations have been a core focus of my research – including runway capacity estimation models and <u>delay analysis models</u>



#### Table 4

2007-2008, and 2008-2009 counterfactual delay simulation results (minutes per flight).

	Average delay (min per flight) in		Counterfactual 1 (delay, in min per flight), $\widehat{w}_{o}^{1}$								
			2007-2008			2008-2009					
			07 dem	$\Delta$ delay due to		$\sigma^{a}$	08 dem ∆ delay		due to	$\sigma^{a}$	
	2007	2008	2009	08 thpt	Δ thpt	$\Delta$ dem		09 thpt	Δ thpt	∆ dem	
LGA											
Departure	10.7	10.3	7.4	14.0	3.3	-3.7	0.14	16.2	5.9	-8.9	0.26
Arrival	10.7	11.8	10.8	19.0	8.3	-7.2	0.42	30.1	18.3	-19.3	0.41
EWR											
Departure	10	11.3	8.1	11.3	1.3	0	0.08	9.8	-1.5	-1.7	0.07
Arrival	12.1	12.5	11.1	11.6	-0.4	0.8	0.26	23.8	11.3	-12.7	0.21
JFK											
Departure	14.4	11.8	8.5	18.4	4.0	-6.6	0.13	6.9	-4.9	1.6	0.15
Arrival	8.1	8.7	6.8	20.0	11.8	-11.3	0.25	5.7	-3.0	1.1	0.26

<sup>a</sup> Standard deviation of 1000 counterfactual delay simulation runs results.



With *Transport Canada* and the *GNWT DOT*, I studied the impacts of climate change on freight transportation in the Mackenzie River corridor





#### Applied Transportation Systems Analysis @UofA



My aim is to bring together these 3 areas of research, by working with northern aviation operators and government

- Operational impacts of infrastructure & weather conditions
  - Permafrost degradation
  - Changing winds
  - Weather, NAVAIDs, airstrip lengths/surfaces
  - Safety and efficiency
- Changing performance under climate change: current vs. historical performance
- Societal impacts of aviation performance (i.e. food security)

#### Advanced Modeling and Analysis

#### Benefits for Policy and Practice

- Analysis results can provide:
  - Additional empirically-based evidence to support investment decisions
  - Greater insights and analysis toolkit for practitioners
  - Quantification of benefits of investments to Northern Canada

### But this isn't possible without your participation!

• Operational impacts of prastructure & weather conditions

- Permafrost degrada
- Changing winds

food security)

- We Sai 1. Your expertise and domain knowledge npirically-based evidence to
- 2.Understand your operational needs Char curr estments to Soci
  - 3. Flight operations data

Analy

Benefits for Policy

and Practice





## Thank you!

#### Amy M. Kim, Ph.D., P.Eng. Assistant Professor

#### amy.kim@ualberta.ca amymkim.com transportation.ualberta.ca

#### Photo credits:

- Shawn, "Shutting down in the early morning light" is licensed under CC BY-SA 2.0
- Mike Beauregard, "there's always tomorrow" is licensed under CC BY 2.0
- <u>CambridgeBayWeather</u>, "<u>GXCN Dash 8 at Cambridge Bay Airport</u>" is licensed under <u>CC BY-SA 3.0</u>
- John Davies, Boeing 767-35H-ER, Air Canada AN0152825.jpg is licensed under GNU Free Documentation License, version 1.2
- Ansgar Walk, Eureka Weather Station 1997-08-04.jpg is licensed under CC BY-SA 2.5
- Brian Shiro, FMARS 2009 panorama.jpg is licensed under CC BY-SA 3.0
- Trevor MacInnis, Diavik Diamond Mine
- Timkal, Sachs Harbour.jpg is licensed under CC BY-SA 3.0
- NASA ICE, Clearing the Runway (5583010633).jpg is licensed under CC BY 2.0
- Timkal, Looking down runway 31 at Arctic Bay Airport.jpg is licensed under CC BY-SA 3.0
- <u>CambridgeBayWeather</u>, Tug and barges overwintering in Cambridge Bay after the annual sealift is licensed under <u>CC BY-SA 3.0</u>